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Our Mission

Asset Funders Network advances economic opportunity and prosperity for low and middle income people through philanthropy.

AFN members are:

- Private, Public, Corporate, and Community Foundations
- Public-Sector Funders
- Financial Institutions
- Corporate Giving Programs
- Credit Unions
- Community Development Financial Institutions
- United Ways
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$670M is spent each year on financial education, yet studies show that it only makes a 0.1% difference in financial behaviors.

- Consumer Financial Protection Bureau
- Meta-analysis by Daniel Fernandes, John G. Lynch, and Richard G. Netemeyer
What is Common Cents Lab?

Common Cents Lab is a financial research lab at Duke University that creates and tests interventions to help low and moderate-income households increase their financial well-being across 5 areas:

- Improving cash flow management
- Decreasing expenses
- Decreasing debt
- Increasing short-term savings
- Increasing long-term savings
Americans are **NOT financially secure**

In a study of over 800 people in the U.S., 36% had $500 or less in savings
Standard Model of Financial Education

Education -> Knowledge -> Behavior Change
As a society, we have been increasing our efforts to educate our citizens on financial education

→ 45 states include personal finance in their K-12 standards (up from 31 in 2002)
→ 37 require that those standards be implemented (up from 17 in 2002)
→ In 2002, the Financial Literacy and Education Commission was created, with a mandate to develop a national strategy on financial education
→ April has been designated as Financial Literacy Month
Information does NOT lead to behavior change
A meta-analysis, analyzing the relationship of financial education on financial behaviors across 168 papers and 201 studies found very weak results

- “financial education interventions explain only 0.1% of the variance in financial behaviors, with weaker effects in low-income samples.”

- For studies that used RCTs, the effect was much smaller, showing that financial education interventions explain only 0.0% of the variance in financial behaviors

-Fernandes, Lynch, Netemeyer (2014)
Standard View

We’re rational and self-optimizing agents
Most of our decisions are made cognitively and deliberatively
Attention is an abundant resource
Deep reservoir of willpower

Education -> Knowledge -> Behavior Change
Behavioral View

We’re swayed by a multitude of other factors
Most of our decisions are made emotionally and automatically
Attention is a scarce resource
Shallow reservoir of willpower

Environment-> Behavior Change
How do we change behavior?
3 B’s: A Framework for Change

3Bs

→ Behavior
→ Barriers
→ Benefits
Behavior

→ Measurable and actionable behavior
Common Mistakes

→ Too general
→ Mind-set focused

Example:
→ Paydown debt vs. Pay $10 more than the minimum payment every month
1. **IDENTIFYING KEY BEHAVIORS.** Programs must have the right dose of information at the right moment for the right audience.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Key Ingredient</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Does the program focus on action and behavior change?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the program offered right before participants need to take an action—within two weeks of participants being able to make a behavior change?</td>
<td>JUST-IN-TIME</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the program focused on one behavior or topic at a time?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the program related to an issue participants can actively change right now?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is each group education session short (i.e., less than one hour in length)?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Examples from the academic literature:**

- Asking a program participant to focus on **more than one goal** leads to **decreased motivation** (Dalton and Spiller 2012)

- Programs that have just **one saving goal** vs. many savings goals, **increased overall savings** (Soman and Zhao 2011)
Barriers

→ Every click, every field, every signature, every step, every call, every choice, every form...
2. **REducing Barriers.** Programs have to occur in the right environment—one that is comfortable and with few barriers to taking financial action.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Key Ingredient</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is ongoing support and accountability provided to participants?</td>
<td>Accountability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the program streamlined to reduce barriers and unnecessary steps, forms, and actions, and instead make the desired behavior easier or the default?</td>
<td>Reducing Barriers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are any desired behaviors automated?</td>
<td>Reducing Barriers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does the program have participants complete actions during the session and not after, such as check credit scores, open accounts, or set up budgets?</td>
<td>Reducing Barriers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are participants sent goal reminders, action steps, and financial status updates to keep their goals top of mind and help them follow through on their actions?</td>
<td>Reducing Barriers</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Examples from the academic literature:

- Save more for tomorrow increased savings rates from 3.5% to 13.6% in 40 months (Thaler and Benartzi, 2004)
- Pre-filling out FAFSA forms increased likelihood of applying by 40% and college enrollment by 30% (Bettinger et al., 2009)
- SMS texts with credit score information increased credit scores by 21% in individuals with low credit scores (Federal Reserve Bank in Boston, 2014)
Benefits

- Utilitarian
- Hedonic
- Future
- Present
### 3. AMPLIFYING BENEFITS

Programs must include the right motivation for participants.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Key Ingredient</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Does the program use implementation interventions to increase participant follow-through?</td>
<td>IMPLEMENTATION INTENTIONS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do participants connect to his or her future self through reflection on what the future will look like when they have achieved their goal?</td>
<td>FUTURE SELF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does the program offer participants opportunities to connect to their peers who have demonstrated positive behaviors for learning and resources?</td>
<td>SOCIAL PROOF</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Examples from the academic literature:**

- Providing people with envelopes and asking them to answer “where” they are going to save helped increase savings rates by 22% (Soman and Zhao, 2011)
- When people spend three to five minutes imagining and writing down how they would feel in a comfortable and worry-free retirement, they become 25% more likely to increase their savings on the spot (Benartzi et al.)
We only know all of this through testing
4. **EVALUATION.** Education must include measurement of outcomes in the right way.

- Does the program have the ability to measure behavior outcomes (e.g., savings balances, paying bills on time, or credit scores) for a period of longer than three months?

- Does the program have an adequate sample size of participants (i.e., 250 participants every three months) for authoritative experimentation or evaluation?

- Does the program test its outcomes either through a controlled experiment (gold standard), A/B testing, or a pre-post measure (bare minimum)?
MEDA’s program provides financial education and 1:1 coaching on debt, income, savings, credit, and safe banking.

These are services are embedded into all asset building programs: workforce development, business development, housing opportunities, and tax.

Clients work efficiently on a comprehensive plan, with one coach to reach and sustain goals and change behaviors:

- Continued engagement
- Increased political organizing
- Improved credit scores

Harmony’s programming has shifted from a homeownership focus to a comprehensive financial orientation.

Services include VITA, credit counseling, youth financial education, 1:1 coaching, and group financial empowerment seminars.

Behavior changes include:

- Increased savings
- Reduced debt
- Improved credit scores
- Goal attainment
Recommendations

“DO THE BEST YOU CAN until you know better. Then when you know better, do better.”
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Thank you for attending today’s *Asset Funders Network* presentation

**PLEASE FILL OUT OUR SURVEY**

The survey will pop up on your screen momentarily and will also be sent to you via email

**WE VALUE YOUR TIME,**
**AND YOUR RESPONSES WILL INFORM**
**OUR FUTURE PLANNING**
THE WEBINAR HAS CONCLUDED